

The capacitor

In the early 1960's I pioneered the inter-connection of high speed (1nsec) logic gates at Motorola, Phoenix, Arizona ([ref.25](#)). One of the problems to be solved was the nature of the voltage decoupling at a point given by two parallel voltage planes. I asked Bill Herndon about the problem, and he gave me the answer: "It's a transmission line".^[1] Bill learnt this from Stopper, whom I never met, who later worked for Burroughs (UNISYS) Corp. in Detroit.

The fact that parallel voltage planes, when entered at a point, present a resistive, not a reactive, impedance, was for me an important breakthrough. (It meant that as logic speeds increased, there would be no limitation presented by the problem of supplying +5v.) The reader should be able to grasp the reason why voltage plane decoupling is resistive by studying [Figure 64](#), which shows the effect of a segment only of two planes as they are seen from a point.

During the next ten years, with the help of Dr. D. S. Walton, I gradually came to appreciate that, since a conventional capacitor was made up of two parallel voltage planes it also had a resistive, not a reactive (i.e. capacitive or inductive) source impedance when used to decouple the +5v supply to logic. Since the source impedance (= transmission line characteristic impedance) is well below one ohm, the transient current demand of logic gates approaching infinite speed can still be successfully satisfied with +5v from a standard capacitor of any type^[2].

The capacitor is an energy store, and when energy is injected, it enters the capacitor *sideways* at the point where the two leads are joined to the capacitor. Nothing ever traverses a capacitor from one plate to the other^[3]. This is clearly understood in the case of a transmission line. By definition, when a TEM wave travels down a transmission line, [Figure 5](#), nothing travels sideways across the transmission line, or we would not have a transverse electromagnetic wave.

Comparison of the transmission line model with the lumped model of a capacitor in an RC circuit.

Consider a transmission line as shown in [Figure 65](#) with

characteristic impedance Z_0 terminating in an open circuit. We will assume that $R \gg Z_0$.

When the switches are closed (at time $t=0$) a step of voltage $V \frac{Z_0}{R + Z_0}$ is propagated down the line. This

reflects from the open circuit at the right hand end to give a total voltage of $2V \frac{Z_0}{R + Z_0}$. Reflection from the

left hand end makes a further contribution of $V \frac{Z_0}{R + Z_0} \frac{R - Z_0}{R + Z_0}$ and so on. In general, after n two-way

passes the voltage is V_n and;

$$V_{n+1} = V_n + 2V \frac{Z_0}{R + Z_0} \left[\frac{R - Z_0}{R + Z_0} \right]^n \quad (1)$$

In order to avoid a rather difficult integration it is possible to sum the series to n terms using the formula

$$\sum V = a \frac{1-v^n}{1-v} \quad (2)$$

where a is the first term of a geometrical progression and v the ratio between terms. (This formula is easily verified by induction.) Substituting in (2) the parameters for (1),

$$a = 2V \frac{Z_0}{R + Z_0} \quad (3)$$

$$v = \frac{R - Z_0}{R + Z_0} \quad (4)$$

We obtain,

$$V_n = 2V \frac{\frac{Z_0}{R + Z_0} \left[1 - \left\{ \frac{R - Z_0}{R + Z_0} \right\}^n \right]}{1 - \frac{R - Z_0}{R + Z_0}} \quad (5)$$

$$= V \left[1 - \left\{ \frac{R - Z_0}{R + Z_0} \right\}^n \right] \quad (6)$$

This is a correct description of what is happening as a capacitor charges. We can now go on to show that it is approximated by an exponential. We have

$$V_n = V \left[1 - \left\{ \frac{R - Z_0}{R + Z_0} \right\}^n \right] \quad (7)$$

Consider the term,

$$T = \left[\frac{R - Z_0}{R + Z_0} \right]^n$$

$$= \left[\frac{1 - \frac{Z_0}{R}}{1 + \frac{Z_0}{R}} \right]^n$$

If $Z_0/R \ll 1$ this term is asymptotically equal to

$$T = \left[1 - \frac{2Z_0}{R} \right]^n$$

Now define

$$k = 2Z_0 \frac{n}{R}$$

Substitution gives

$$T = \left(1 - \frac{k}{n} \right)^n$$

By definition, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have,

$$T = e^{-k} = e^{-\frac{2Z_0 n}{R}}$$

and therefore:

$$V_n = V \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2Z_0 n}{R}} \right)$$

Now, after time t , $n = \frac{C}{2l}$, where C = velocity of propagation.

Thus,

$$V(t) = V \left[1 - e^{-\frac{Ct}{l} \frac{Z_0}{R}} \right]$$

For any transmission line it can be shown (p19) that

$$Z_0 = f \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}}, \quad C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}}, \quad c = \frac{\epsilon}{f}$$

where c = capacitance per unit length and f is a geometrical factor in each case. The "total capacitance" of length l

$$= lc = C.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{CZ_0}{lR} = \frac{1}{RC}$$

and therefore

$$V(t) = V \left[1 - e^{-\frac{t}{RC}} \right]$$

which is the standard result. This model does not require use of the concept of charge. A graphical comparison of the results is shown in [Figure 66](#).^[4]

[Ref 25](#): Catt I., et al., A High Speed Integrated Circuit Scratchpad Memory, Fall Joint Computer Conference, Nov. 1966.

^[1]ref.15, p40.

^[2]Ref.3b, p216, refutes the fashionable nonsense about "RF capacitors".

^[3]Similarly, the battery, p13, note 24, and the electrolyte.

^[4]Calculations were by my co-author Dr. D.S. Walton. First published in Wireless World, dec78, p51.